Landau model for uniaxial systems with complex order parameter
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We study the Landau model for uniaxial incommensurate-commensurate systems of class I by keeping umklapp terms of third and fourth order in the expansion of the free energy. It applies to systems in which the soft-mode minimum lies between the corresponding commensurate wave numbers. The minimization of the Landau functional leads to the sine-Gordon equation with two nonlinear terms, equivalent to the equation of motion for the well-known classical mechanical problem of two mixing resonances. We calculate the average free energies for periodic, quasiperiodic, and chaotic solutions of this equation, and show that in the regime of finite strengths of umklapp terms only periodic solutions are absolute minima of the free energy, so that the phase diagram contains only commensurate configurations. The phase transitions between neighboring configurations are of the first order, and the wave number of ordering goes through a harmless staircase with a finite number of steps. These results are the basis for the interpretation of phase diagrams for some materials from class I of incommensurate-commensurate systems, in particular of those for $A_2BX_4$ and betaine-calciumchloride-dihydrate compounds. Also, we argue that chaotic barriers which separate metastable periodic solutions represent an intrinsic mechanism for observed memory effects and thermal hystereses.

I. INTRODUCTION

Usual treatments of uniaxial incommensurate-commensurate (IC-C) phase transitions are based either on microscopic models with competing interactions or on phenomenological Landau theories. The relevant reviews can be found in Refs. 1 and 2. The well-known example of the former is the Frenkel-Kontorova (FK) model,3,4 in which the wave number of ordering goes through the devil’s staircase sequence of second-order phase transitions.5 In the regime of weak interactions the FK model can be continued, and so reduced to the exactly solvable (i.e., integrable) sine-Gordon model.4 The solutions that then participate in the phase diagram are phase soliton lattices, i.e., commensurate regions separated by so called discommensurations.5 The phase transition to the commensurate state is of the second (continuous) order, and the devil’s staircase variation of the wave number is replaced by its simple continuous dependence on the control parameter.

The phenomenological Landau theory, another usual approach to the IC-C transitions, started from the expansion of the thermodynamic potential in terms of the order parameter, and relied on the symmetry requirement by which the order parameter is defined through one of the irreducible representations of the symmetry group of the normal phase. For example, for structural phase transitions the order parameter is defined as a set of normal coordinates of the soft mode.6,7 Generally the minimum frequency of this soft mode may be located at an arbitrary point (i.e., star of wave vectors) in the first Brillouin zone. The simplest irreducible representation for a uniaxial ordering is then two dimensional. The corresponding basic (“minimal”) form of the Landau expansion comprises, besides the leading normal terms, one, presumably the strongest, umklapp term allowed by symmetry. This term favors a commensurate ordering and is responsible for the lock-in transition from the incommensurate ordering favored by the elastic term. Minimization of the Landau functional again leads, after neglecting the space variations of the order-parameter amplitude,5 to the sine-Gordon equation,8,9 i.e., to the phase diagram equal to that of the FK model after the space continuation.

The above approaches predict either a dense sequence of second-order phase transitions (devil’s staircase in the FK model) or an isolated transition of the same type (Landau theory). Both possibilities are indeed close to the observations of IC-C transitions in some materials.10,11 A majority of materials, however, exhibits a more complex behavior comprising one or more first-order phase transitions, memory effects, wide (“global”) hystereses, finite density of solitons at the very IC-C transition, etc. (for a review see, e.g., Ref. 11). It is usually difficult to decide solely from the experimental observations, even for the most carefully prepared samples, whether such effects are of purely intrinsic or of some extrinsic origin. From the theoretical side, they cannot be explained within either of above approaches without extending the models. So far this problem was mainly considered by taking primarily into account some extrinsic agents, like external fields (e.g., electric field in ferroelectric materials), pinning centers, fixed or mobile defects, additional external periodic potentials with periodicities different from those already present in the model, etc.

Another, more intricate possibility is that of intrinsic sources and mechanisms as the potential explanations for the aforementioned phenomena.12 In this respect the central question is the following: what are the simplest intrinsic extensions of the above basic approaches that lead to phase diagrams with a finite sequence of first-order transitions (i.e., harmless staircase13), and thus offer an inherent explanation for global hystereses and corresponding phenomena?

The attempts in this direction were more successful in the realm of discrete models. The examples are models that include couplings between next-nearest neighbors, like the so-
called discrete frustrated \(\phi^4\) (DIFFOUR) model \(^{14}\), axial next-nearest-neighbor Ising (ANNNI) model \(^{15,16}\), as well as various extensions \(^{17–19}\) and models with two spinlike variables per site like those of Chen and Walker \(^{20}\) and Janssen \(^{21}\). Both types of extensions were aimed mostly towards the interpretation of the phase diagrams observed in the family of \(A_2BX_4\) compounds.

On the other hand, the attempts within Landau models were based on the formal inclusion of more and more umklapp terms (i.e., stars of wave vectors) into the basic models for classes I (Refs. 22–24) and II (Refs. 25–27) of IC-C systems. From one side, the relevance of the umklapp terms of high orders in the Landau expansion can be hardly justified on the physical grounds. Also, the ensuing analyses took into account only sinusoidal modulations, which, as the present study shows, is a too crude approximation for the determination of phase diagrams with a harmless staircase, as well as for the interpretation of accompanying hysteretic effects.

In contrast to such approaches, we propose in the present work a simple, physically well justified, extension of the basic Landau model for class I, which is still framed within a “minimal” free-energy expansion for a single star of wave vectors. The phase diagram that emerges from our model is characterized by a harmless staircase and first-order transitions between highly nonsinusoidal configurations with different periods. Furthermore, a closer examination of configurations that participate in the phase diagram, and also of those that are not thermodynamically favored, enable a plausible explanation of the memory and hysteresis effects as the intrinsic (or at least semi-intrinsic) properties of IC-C systems.

Our considerations are based on a sine-Gordon model with two umklapp terms \(^{28}\). This type of model is physically well grounded whenever the Landau expansion contains terms that favor two different commensurabilities that are of comparable strengths. The most interesting case is realized with umklapp terms of third and fourth order, the lowest possible ones within the models with the Lifshitz invariant, appropriate for the so-called systems of class I (Ref. 6) (the systems of class II have lock-in transitions at the commensurabilities of order one and two, and are covered by an essentially different type of Landau model \(^{29,30}\)).

The mean-field (saddle-point) approximation for our Landau functional leads to the Euler-Lagrange (EL) equation that has the form of the double sine-Gordon equation. This is one of the most intensively studied nonintegrable problems in contemporary classical mechanics \(^{31–33}\). The corresponding phase portrait contains periodic, quasiperiodic, and chaotic trajectories, the latter appearing only when both nonlinear terms in the Landau functional are finite. As the strength of nonlinear terms increases, the chaotic trajectories occupy a larger and larger portion of the phase space, destroying gradually quasiperiodic Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) layers, and eventually allowing only for some isolated periodic trajectories. The latter are orbitally unstable and therefore are not realized within the scope of classical mechanics. However, we show that just this tiny subset of the phase space comprises local minima of the free-energy functional, i.e., the solutions (configurations) that participate in the thermodynamic phase diagram. The question that then arises is analogous to that met in the analyses of the discrete models, \(^3,34\) i.e., are there thermodynamically stable configurations among other, quasiperiodic and chaotic, trajectories.

In order to analyze this additional, thermodynamic, aspect of the phase portrait, we calculate the average free energy for periodic, quasiperiodic, and a representative set of chaotic solutions of the EL equation, with the aim to find, for given values of control parameters, those solutions that have the lowest value of the average free energy. We show that the chaotic configurations are never thermodynamically stable, in agreement with results obtained for some discrete models \(^3,34\). The quasiperiodic configurations might be present in the phase diagram only when the umklapp terms are weak enough, i.e., at temperatures slightly below the phase transition from the disordered to the incommensurate state. In the regime of strong umklapp terms (to be specified later) the phase diagram is completely covered by periodic configurations, and the wave number of ordering passes through a finite number of values separated by the first-order transitions, i.e., the corresponding staircase, is harmless.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the Landau model of uniaxial ordering with two umklapp terms and discuss its classical mechanical counterpart. The solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation are considered in Sec. III, and the corresponding thermodynamic phase diagrams are presented in Sec. IV. Finally, in Sec. V we discuss possible implications to the phenomena observed in real materials, and compare our results with those obtained in the previous analyses of the similar models and other theories of uniaxial IC-C ordering.

## II. Model

We start from the assumption that the quadratic contribution to the Landau expansion has minima at wave numbers \((\pm Q, -Q)\), where \(Q_2 < Q < Q_3\), with \(Q_2 = 2\pi/4\) and \(Q_3 = 2\pi/3\). Here the unit length is taken equal to the lattice constant. The distances of \(Q\) from \(Q_3\) and \(Q_4\) are denoted by \(\delta_3\) and \(\delta_4\), respectively, with \(\delta_3 + \delta_4 = \pi/6\) (Fig. 1). From now on we shall use \(\delta_3\) as an independent control parameter. Let us furthermore specify that the order parameter is complex, \(\rho e^{i\phi}\). Limiting the further analysis to the temperature range well below the critical temperature for the transition from the disordered to the incommensurate phase, we also make the usual approximation of space-independent amplitude \(\rho\) \(^5\) and keep only the phase-dependent part of the free-energy density. The latter reads

\[
f(\phi,x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right)^2 + B \cos \left[ 3\phi + 3 \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) x \right] + C \cos (4\phi - 4\delta_4 x).
\]

FIG. 1. Brillouin zone with the soft-mode minimum at \(Q\), and the incommensurate wave numbers of third \((Q_3)\) and fourth \((Q_4)\) order.
Here we scale the free-energy functional

\[ F = \int dx f(\phi, x) \]  

by \( \xi_0^2 \rho^2 \), where \( \xi_0 \) is the correlation length in the x direction. The first, gradient term in Eq. (1) is the elastic contribution that favors the incommensurate sinusoidal ordering with the wave number \( Q \). The second and third terms are the umklapp contributions of the third and fourth order, respectively. Due to the closeness of the wave number \( Q \) to both respective commensurate wave numbers, they are presumably the leading umklapp contributions, provided both are allowed by symmetry. Their effective strengths are denoted by coefficients \( B \) and \( C \) that are proportional to the first and the second power of the amplitude \( \rho \), respectively. They are another two control parameters (beside \( \delta_4 \)) of model (1). The temperature variation of \( \rho \) is expected to be the main source of the temperature dependence of \( B \) and \( C \).

Model (1) covers a variety of possibilities that may take place in particular physical examples. Besides the competition of each umklapp term and the elastic term present already in basic sine-Gordon models, the essential new property of the present model is an additional competition between two umklapp terms. The relative importance of these two terms relies on both the ratio of the strengths \( B \) and \( C \) and the position of the wave number \( Q \), i.e., the ratio of \( \delta_4 \) and \( \delta_3 \), so that various regimes are possible. Regarding expansion (1) it is reasonable to expect that the relative strength of two terms varies from the dominance of the third-order term \((B \gg C)\) at temperatures not far below the transition from the disordered phase to the comparable values of \( B \) and \( C \) at lower temperatures. However, even when \((B \gg C)\), the relative weakness of the fourth-order umklapp term can be compensated by a small value of \( \delta_4 \) with respect to \( \delta_3 \), i.e., by its much slower space dependence. In this case it is necessary to keep both umklapp terms in expansion (1). Although similar arguments may be invoked in favor of retaining some other pair of commensurate wave numbers, or even more than two of them, example (1) seems to be the most interesting one, due to the lowest possible powers of \( \rho \) present in coefficients \( B \) and \( C \).

The configurations that take part in the thermodynamic phase diagram of model (1) are the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange (EL) equation,

\[ \phi'' + 3B \sin \left[ 3\phi + 3 \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) x \right] + 4C \sin(4\phi - 4\delta_4 x) = 0, \]  

which for given values of the control parameters have the lowest value of the free energy averaged over the macroscopic length of the system \( L \),

\[ \langle F \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \int dx f[\phi(x), x]. \]  

Before developing the appropriate method for the determination of such configurations, let us make a few remarks about Eq. (3). From the classical mechanical side it represents the nonintegrable double resonance (i.e., double sine-Gordon) model with the corresponding Hamiltonian

\[ H(\phi, \phi, x) = \frac{p_\phi^2}{2} - B \cos \left[ 3\phi + 3 \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) x \right] - C \cos(4\phi - 4\delta_4 x), \]  

where \( p_\phi = \partial f/\partial \phi' = \phi' \). Obviously for \( B = 0 \) or \( C = 0 \) Eqs. (3) and (5) reduce to completely integrable sine-Gordon problems. For both \( B \) and \( C \) finite, one encounters the coexistence of two overlapping resonance domains. This can be easily seen with help of the Poincaré cross section. We introduce the auxiliary variable

\[ \psi = \phi + \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) x, \]  

and plot the Poincaré cross section in the phase space \((\psi, p_\phi)\), \( \psi = \psi(x_0 + 3n), p_\phi = \psi'(x_0 + 3n) \). Here \( x_0 \) is the starting point of integration and \( n \) is an integer. The resonance domains are situated around elliptic fixed points at \([\psi = 0, p_\phi = (2\pi/m) n] \) and \([\psi = \pi/6, p_\phi = (\pi/4)(2m + 1) \] \), where \( m \) is an integer. Their respective widths are \( 12\sqrt{B/\pi} \) if \( C = 0 \) and \( 12\sqrt{C/\pi} \) if \( B = 0 \). For small values of \( B \) and \( C \) (Fig. 2(a)) the trajectories between two resonances conserve their topological form, while chaotic trajectories exist only very close to the separatrices of both resonances. As \( B \) and/or \( C \) increase [Fig. 2(b)] the separatrices burst out into stochastic layers that grow and eventually merge between resonance domains. One gradually arrives at the threshold of the stochasticity [Fig. 2(c)], given by the Chirikov criterion

\[ \frac{12}{\pi} (\sqrt{B} + \sqrt{C}) \approx 1, \]  

at which the last KAM torus is destroyed, i.e., there are no more quasiperiodic solutions between two resonances. Chaotic trajectories are now free to diffuse through all phase space between two resonances. Let us mention here two points relevant for further discussion. First, the widths of the chaotic layers grow exponentially as parameters \( B \) and \( C \) increase. Thus, the area between two resonances will be rapidly covered with chaotic layers. Second, KAM tori represent the main obstacles to diffusion of chaotic trajectories through phase space (Ref. 33, and references therein).

\section*{III. SOLUTIONS OF THE EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATION}

Besides the classical mechanical context, our problem has an additional aspect, namely, we are looking for the thermodynamically stable solutions, i.e., the trajectories in the phase space from Figs. 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c), which are local minima of the functional (2). Since we have to compare average free energies (4) of the trajectories present in the phase space, our first task is to specify numerical methods appropriate for the calculation of particular types of solutions.

The orbitally unstable periodic solutions obviously cannot be determined by a direct integration of the EL equations (3), commonly used for calculation of orbitally stable solutions. It is therefore necessary to calculate them by using a suitable boundary value method for nonlinear equations. The most natural choice is the finite difference method, which is, however, rather demanding regarding computer memory and time. It is therefore important to reduce the search for peri-
odic solutions by establishing in an analytic way sufficient conditions for the possible values of periods. To this end we start from the relation

\[ f(x + P) = f(x) + \phi_P, \quad (8) \]

which holds for any periodic solution. Here \( P \) is its period and \( \phi_P \) is the phase increment per period (note that the periodic solutions with finite \( \phi_P \) belong to the rotational part of the phase space). Inserting Eq. (8) into the EL equation (3) taken at \( x + P \) one gets

\[
\phi'' + 3B \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) x + 3 \phi_P + 3 \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) P = 0, \quad (9)
\]

where \( \phi(x) \). Sufficient conditions on the values of parameters \( P \) and \( \phi_P \) follow from the requirement that Eqs. (9) and (3) have the same form, i.e., that

\[
3 \phi_P + 3 \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) P = 2 \pi k, \quad 4 \phi_P - 4 \delta_4 P = -2 \pi l,
\]

where \( k \) and \( l \) are integers. Thus we get

\[
P = 4k + 3l, \quad \phi_P = \delta_4 P - \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (11)
\]

Obviously, each periodic solution satisfying the requirement (10) is uniquely defined by a pair of integers \((k,l)\) that do not have a common integer factor.\(^{28}\) Note that the above procedure, in particular the step from Eq. (9) to the conditions (10), in principle does not forbid the existence of periodic solutions that do not belong to the set defined by Eqs. (11). However, our attempts to locate numerically such solutions, although based on two independent algorithms, the present and the alternative one,\(^{36}\) always led to a negative result. This is an indication that the solutions with the periods (11) are very probably the only possible periodic solutions, i.e., that relations (10) are also the necessary conditions for their existence.

The solution \( \phi(x) \) with the period (11) has the total wave number (that measured from the origin of Brillouin zone):

\[
q = Q - \frac{\phi_P}{P} = 2 \pi q = 2 \pi \frac{k + l}{4k + 3l}. \quad (12)
\]

The values of \( q \) allowed by conditions (10) form a Farey tree, shown in Fig. 3 for the wave numbers between \( q = 1/3 \).
The periodic solutions \( q = 1/3 \) \((k = 0, l = 1, \text{ and } P = 3)\) and \( q = 1/4 \) \((k = 1, l = 0, \text{ and } P = 4)\). Thus, already at this introductory stage of the analysis we conclude that model (1) has the phase diagram with branchings between neighboring commensurate configurations equivalent to those of ANNNI models.\(^{16,18}\)

The periodic solutions \( q = 1/3 \) \((k = 0, l = 1, \text{ and } P = 3)\) and \( q = 1/4 \) \((k = 1, l = 0, \text{ and } P = 4)\) in the Farey tree of Fig. 3 are the basic commensurate configurations, belonging to the umklapp terms of third and fourth order, respectively. The wave numbers at lower levels of the Farey tree from Fig. 3 represent higher-order commensurate solutions that correspond to all positive values of \( k \) and \( l \). They are situated between two main resonances in the Poincaré cross section shown in Fig. 2. Note that for small values of \( B \) and \( C \) their positions in the phase space \([\text{Fig. } 2(\text{a})]\) perfectly match positions in the Farey tree \((\text{Fig. } 3)\). As parameters \( B \) and \( C \) further increase, the positions of periodic solutions that are embedded in chaotic layers become slightly intermixed since there are no more KAM tori between two resonances that restrict their positions in phase space \([\text{Fig. } 2(\text{c})]\). We do not include the parts of the Farey tree belonging to negative values of \( k \) and/or \( l \) since, as it will become clear later, they are not thermodynamically stable for \( 0 < \delta_4 < \pi/6 \) and \( B, C > 0 \).

In the next step we specify boundary conditions for a particular periodic solution \( \phi_{k,l}(x) \). Since every periodic solution possesses at least two inflection points, we chose one of them, \( x_0 \), to be the initial point of integration, i.e., the left end point of one period. Thus \( \phi''_k(x = x_0) = 0 \). The boundary conditions now read

\[
\phi_{k,l}(x = x_0 + P) = \phi_{k,l}(x = x_0) + \phi_P,
\]

\[
\phi''_{k,l}(x = x_0 + P) = \phi''_{k,l}(x = x_0).
\]

Since the values of \( P \) and \( \phi_P \) follow from the choice of integers \((k,l)\) [Eqs. (11)], it remains to establish the connection between the other three parameters, \( x_0 \), \( \phi_{k,l}(x = x_0) \), and \( \phi''_{k,l}(x = x_0) \), which figure in conditions (13). As it follows from the EL equation (3) with \( x = x_0 \),

\[
3B \sin \left[ 3 \phi(x_0) + 3 \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) x_0 \right] + 4C \sin \left[ 4 \phi(x_0) - 4 \delta_4 x_0 \right] = 0,
\]

\( x_0 \) and \( \phi(x_0) \) are not independent. Even more, the numerical experience suggests that for a given periodic solution both \( x_0 \) and \( \phi(x_0) \) do not vary as we change \( B \) and/or \( C \), i.e., that Eq. (14) in fact decomposes into two conditions,

\[
3 \phi(x_0) + 3 \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) x_0 = M \pi,
\]

\[
4 \phi(x_0) - 4 \delta_4 x_0 = -N \pi,
\]

where \( M \) and \( N \) are integers. This means that \( x_0 \) and \( \phi(x_0) \) may have values

\[
x_0 = \frac{1}{2} (4M + 3N)
\]

TABLE I. The set of possible values of \( x_0 \) and \( \phi(x_0) \) needed for specifying boundary conditions of EL equation (3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( l )</th>
<th>( P )</th>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( \phi(x_0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odd</td>
<td>Odd</td>
<td>Odd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Even</td>
<td>Odd</td>
<td>Odd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \pi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Even</td>
<td>Even</td>
<td>Even</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} \delta_4 + \frac{\pi}{4} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These relations would allow for \( 2^P \) values of \( x_0 \) and an infinite number of values for \( \phi(x_0) \) (for a general value of \( \delta_4 \)). The further analysis of symmetry properties of problem (3), as well as the numerical insight, however indicate that for any choice of periods \( P \) and \( \phi_P \) this enumerable set is highly degenerate and reduces to only two distinct \((\text{nondegenerate})\) solutions. The convenient choices of \( x_0 \) and \( \phi(x_0) \) characterizing these solutions for various combinations of odd and/or even values of integers \( k \) and \( l \) are listed in Table I.

The above analysis simplifies drastically the numerical procedure, since after specifying the parameters \( k, l, x_0 \), and \( \phi(x_0) \), the determination of a given periodic solution follows from the variation of the single remaining parameter \( \phi'(x_0) \). In accomplishing this procedure it appears convenient to eliminate, by transforming the variable \( \phi(x) \), the explicit \( x \)-dependence from one of the umklapp terms in the EL equation (3), and to keep this dependence in the term with a weaker amplitude. Thus for \( B \) larger than \( C \) we use the variable \( \psi(x) \) [Eq. (6)] instead of \( \phi(x) \), so that the EL equation

\[
\psi'' + 3B \sin(3 \psi) + 4C \sin \left( 4 \psi - \frac{2 \pi}{3} x \right) = 0,
\]

and its solutions \( \psi(x) \), do not depend on \( \delta_4 \). The corresponding free energy then acquires a \( \delta_4 \)-dependent term in the form of Lifshitz invariant,

\[
F = \int dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi' - \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_4 \right) \phi'' + B \cos(3 \psi) + C \cos \left( 4 \psi - \frac{2 \pi}{3} x \right) \right)^2 \right],
\]

which simplifies the calculation of the \( \delta_4 \) dependence of the averaged free energy for any particular periodic solution of the EL equation. As is visible in Fig. 4, the form of periodic solutions resembles that of multisoliton solutions of the simple sine-Gordon model (still, note the slight modulation of commensurate regions, i.e., between discommensurations). When \( C \) is larger than \( B \), it is appropriate to introduce an analogous variable that makes the \( C \) term \( x \)-independent, namely, \( \chi(x) = \phi(x) - \delta_4 x \). Again, the corresponding EL
The equation does not depend on $d_4$. The boundary conditions have to be modified correspondingly for both transformations.

Although the steps described above greatly simplify the numerical procedure, the finite difference method poses the limitations on the computer memory and time that do not allow us to calculate solutions with periods well above 100. Note in this respect that the nonlinearity of EL equations (3) or (18) forces us to use about 1000 mesh points per period in order to get solutions that are reliable enough.

Periodic solutions of EL equation (3) show several interesting properties that are important for analysis of phase diagrams. We notice that for some values (or ranges of values) of parameters $B$ and $C$ one of the two periodic solutions with the same values of $k$ and $l$ from Table I ceases to exist for $\phi(x_0) = 0$. In general, the solutions with the lower value of averaged free energy are more robust with this disappearance. We do not go into a closer analysis of this effect, but only indicate that it seems to be closely connected with the destruction of KAM tori as $B$ and $C$ increase.

Another interesting property of periodic solutions is the splitting in averaged free energies of two solutions with the same values of $(k,l)$ (see Fig. 5). As parameter $C$ gradually increases from zero, while keeping $B$ fixed, values of the difference between these two energies increase, thus making one periodic solution more and more thermodynamically favorable with respect to the other. This splitting is larger for the solutions with smaller periods. The qualitative consequence is that such solutions participate over greater and greater parts of the phase diagram as parameters $B$ and $C$ increase.

For the calculation of quasiperiodic and chaotic trajectories we use standard, Adams or Runge-Kutta-Merson, methods for an initial value problem. Quasiperiodic trajectories, as building objects of KAM tori, are orbitally stable. Chaotic orbits, although certainly orbitally unstable, are diffusive through all the corresponding chaotic layer in the phase space, so that by picking one of them we get practically the averaged free energy for all chaotic solutions in that layer. Thus, in order to calculate the averaged free energies of quasiperiodic and chaotic solutions we chose initial values by random (the probability of picking a periodic solution instead of quasiperiodic or chaotic ones is equal to zero), and carry out the integration as long as the accuracy is satisfying. The fact that the averaged free energy of quasiperiodic and chaotic solutions can be determined only to a limited accuracy was already pointed out by Fradkin et al., who estimated the degree of accuracy for a given type of solution.

The estimation of the common averaged free energy of chaotic solutions within a given layer can be done as follows. The average value of umklapp terms in expression (19) is zero since these terms contain trigonometric functions with an argument that chaotically (randomly) varies with $x$. For the fourth-order umklapp term $\cos(4\phi - (2\pi/3)x)$ we have

$$\left\langle \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{3}x\right) \cos(4\phi) \right\rangle = \left\langle \sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{3}x\right) \sin(4\phi) \right\rangle = 0,$$

(20)
while for the third-order umklapp term we have an average of \( \cos 3\psi \) that is also zero. The averaged free energy is thus given by the integral of the gradient term
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left[ \psi' - \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \delta_1 \right) \right]^2.
\]
The latter depends on the position and the width of the chaotic layer in the phase space, i.e., only on the dependence of \( \psi' \) on \( x \) along the trajectory in this layer.

In order to determine a solution with the lowest average free energy we follow solutions (periodic, quasiperiodic, and chaotic) with initial conditions that belong to the line \( \{ \psi(x_0) = 0, \psi'(x_0) \} \) in the phase space \( (\psi, \psi') \) (Fig. 2), and compute their average free energies. For small values of \( B \) and \( C \), periodic and quasiperiodic solutions are regularly arranged in the phase space [Fig. 2(a)], with hardly distinguishable average free energies [Fig. 6(a)]. In order to show that the solution with the lowest free energy is periodic, we follow downwards the branch of the Farey tree (Fig. 3) that starts at the point with the averaged free energy lower than those for neighboring points above and below this point. It is numerical evidence that the average free energies increase (and tend to some finite value) as we go down through successive branch points, i.e., through the solution with larger and larger periods. Quasiperiodic solutions can be regarded as asymptotic limits of series of periodic solutions defined by successive branchings in the Farey tree in which the period and the phase increment tend to infinity (but with a finite, irrational, value of \( q \)).

The averaged free energies of quasiperiodic solutions thus should be equal to the limiting values of averaged free energies at a given branch, which are, as is argued above, higher than the averaged free energy of the starting periodic solution. Since this argument is based on numerical calculations, it cannot be extended to very small values of \( B \) and \( C \) for which the solution with the lowest free energy, as well as the solutions at the accompanying branch in the Farey tree, have too large periods.

In the range of intermediate values of \( B \) and \( C \) [Fig. 6(b)] there are intervals of initial conditions in which quasiperiodic solutions disappear, and only chaotic and periodic solutions are present. The chaotic layers can be easily recognized in the Poincaré cross section [Fig. 2(b)]. The average free energies of periodic solutions then look as needlelike minima immersed in the average free energy of chaotic layers, represented by plateaus in Fig. 6(b). Finally, for large values of \( B \) and \( C \) [Fig. 6(c)] for which the Chirikov criterion (7) is fulfilled, there remains a single chaotic layer between two resonance domains [Fig. 2(c)], while the number of existing periodic solutions gradually decreases as \( B \) and \( C \) increase. Since there remains a finite number of corresponding well-defined needlelike minima, it is sufficient to limit the numerical calculations to the search for existing periodic solutions, and to find out among them the solution that has the lowest average free energy.

IV. PHASE DIAGRAM

We have argued in the previous section that the configurations with minimal average free energy are among periodic solutions of EL equation (3). Before presenting results of numerical calculations that confirm this expectation, we briefly discuss the parameters present in model (1).

The parameters \( B \) and \( C \) depend on external conditions, most usually on temperature and pressure. As it was men-

FIG. 6. Average free energy vs \( \psi_0' \) of periodic (\( \triangle \)), quasiperiodic (\( \bigcirc \)), and chaotic (\( \blacklozenge \)) solutions, for \( B = 0.002, C = 0.002 \) (a), \( B = 0.008, C = 0.006 \) (b), \( B = 0.02, C = 0.02 \) (c), and \( \delta_1 = \pi/12, x_0 = 1.5 \). The \((k,l)\) indices for the periodic solution with the lowest average free energy (\( \bullet \)) are (3,4) in (a) and (b), and (1,1) in (c). The insets in (a) and (b) are enlarged neighborhoods of the free-energy minima.
Landau theory is based. The parameter microscopic model for a particular material on which the depending. On the other side, two umklapp terms favor commensurabilities of higher and higher orders. The absence of these configurations at larger values of $C$ is mostly due to the fact that, although they exist as solutions of the EL equation, their average free energies are too high in comparison to those of the solutions with lower commensurabilities. In addition, some periodic solutions simply cease to exist as $C$ (or $B$) increase, as shown in Fig. 5. Note also that only one of two different classes of periodic solutions with the same values of $k$ and $l$ participates in the phase diagram in Fig. 7, i.e., that characterized by the initial conditions from the second rows (depending on evenness and oddness of integers $k$ and $l$) in Table I. Still, we find out numerically that the average free energies for two different solutions with the same $(k,l)$ may change order, i.e., that the solutions from the first rows in Table I may have lower average free energy than those from the second rows provided they are of rather high commensurability. Thus, it is somewhat surprising that in the phase diagram in Fig. 7 the periodic solutions with only one type of boundary condition prevail. We shall come back to this point later in Sec. V.

In addition to the phase diagram, we plot in Fig. 8 the corresponding staircase, i.e., the wave number of the stable configuration vs parameters $C$ and $\delta_4$. As long as $C$ is not very small there is a finite number of steps, i.e., we obtain
FIG. 9. Phase diagram in the ($B$, $C$) plane for $\delta_3 = \pi/12$. The numbers in the figure are periods of some stable commensurate phases. The dashed curve represents the Chirikov criterion (7).

the so-called harmless staircase, introduced by Villain and Gordon.\textsuperscript{13} We stress that the most interesting property of the phase diagram from Figs. 7 and 8, the presence of a finite (small) number of stable commensurate configurations, is encountered in the regime of rather high values of parameters $B$ and $C$. The phase portrait of the EL equation (3) is then almost everywhere chaotic [Fig. 2(c)] and there are no more quasiperiodic solutions between two resonances. By increasing further the values of parameters $B$ and $C$ one eventually comes to the phase diagram in which only two main commensurate phases ($q = 1/3$ and $q = 1/4$) take place.

Another possible presentation of the phase diagram is that with a fixed value of the parameter $\delta_4$ and with varying parameters $B$ and $C$. It is presumably closer to usual physical situations in which only weak temperature and pressure dependences of $\delta_4$ are expected. The construction of the ($B$, $C$) phase diagram is however computationally more demanding, since one has to look for the solution with the lowest average free energy within a set of solutions for given values of $B$ and $C$, i.e., one has to calculate the whole set of periodic solutions of the EL equation [Eq. (3) or (18)] for each point in the two-dimensional phase diagram. To this end we use a mesh of points that is dense enough in the ($B$, $C$) plane, and determine the solution with the lowest average free energy at each point. The phase diagram obtained in this way for $\delta_4 = \pi/12$ is shown in Fig. 9. Note that again only configurations with rather low orders of commensurability, i.e., with small values of parameters $(k, l)$, are present above the Chirikov line [Eq. (7)], while below this line the diagram is more complex since a great number of first-order transitions take place within a small part of the phase diagram.

V. CONCLUSION

The most important conclusions of the above analysis follow from the thermodynamic phase diagram obtained in the regime of comparable strengths of two umklapp terms included into the Landau expansion (1). At first we emphasize that only one type of solution of the corresponding EL equation, namely, periodic configurations, participates in the phase diagram. Furthermore, all phase transitions between successive commensurate phases are of the first order, so that the wave number of ordering follows a harmless staircase with a finite number of steps. The examples of such a phase diagram, namely, a series of successive lock-in commensurate-commensurate transitions with accompanying effects that characterize first-order transitions,\textsuperscript{19} are often encountered in particular materials. Here we focus our attention on a few well-known examples.

One of the most studied type of materials are $A_2BX_4$ compounds, among which we take $\text{Rb}_2\text{ZnBr}_4$ as a prominent representative. Early neutron-diffraction measurements\textsuperscript{40–42} of the temperature variation of modulation wave numbers revealed the existence of several higher-order commensurate phases. The more complete pressure-temperature phase diagram followed from various subsequent data, in particular again from the neutron-diffraction measurements of Parlinski et al.\textsuperscript{43,44} It resembles to a great extent our phase diagrams from Figs. 7 and 9. Note also that the phenomenological formula for wave numbers of observed commensurate phases introduced by Parlinski et al.\textsuperscript{43} coincides to our expression for the Farey tree (12), which is, as is shown in Sec. III, inherent to the model (1). Harmless staircases are clearly seen in, e.g., pressure variation of the wave vector for a fixed temperature,\textsuperscript{48} with steps going as $1/3$, $7/24$, $2/7$, and $1/4$ by increasing pressure. They are accompanied by hysteresis in pressure and temperature runs, which are particularly strong when only a few steps appear in the phase diagram. This corresponds to the regime of rather high values of parameters $B$ and $C$, in which the phase diagram contains only a few commensurate phases and the average free energy of the chaotic plateau is well above the average free energies of periodic solutions [Fig. 6(c)].

Existing theoretical approaches to the (in)commensurate orderings in $A_2BX_4$ compounds, in particular to the appearance of a series of commensurate phases, are mostly phenomenological, based either on Landau expansions\textsuperscript{45} or on the discrete models of competing local interactions.\textsuperscript{17,20,21} The justification for the continuous Landau models, which are generally appropriate to weak-coupling systems, comes from many experimental indications, starting from the early neutron-scattering data,\textsuperscript{46–48} showing a well-defined dispersion curve for collective modes with distinct soft-mode minima. However, the previous analyses of Landau models were restricted to purely sinusoidal modulation, and, as such were not able to explain the appearance of phases with commensurabilities of orders higher than three or four. It was therefore proposed that such phases appear due to the presence of umklapp terms of higher orders in the free-energy expansion.\textsuperscript{22–24} This explanation, which is based on the assumption that distinct commensurate stars of wave vectors are necessary for the stabilization of, presumably sinusoidal, phases with corresponding wave vectors,\textsuperscript{22–24} is not convincing since the umklapp terms of order higher than four are expected to be negligible in weakly coupled systems with a displacive order.

For these reasons the more recent attempts turned again towards another type of approach, that which assumes strong couplings, so that the lattice discreteness has to be taken into account. Originally the sequences of IC-$C$ and commensurate-commensurate phase transitions were within
this scheme interpreted in terms of the FK model as devil’s staircase dependences of the wave number of ordering, i.e., as dense sequences of second-order phase transitions.\(^5\) However, observed staircases rarely resemble, even within experimental limitations, the dense devil’s staircase. Beside, phase transitions between successive commensurate phases are usually of the first order. The phase diagrams that are closer to experimental findings may be, however, obtained by various extensions of the basic FK model, e.g., by including an additional harmonic potential.\(^{46,47}\) Also, the more complex models of competing interactions, e.g., DIFFOUR (Ref. 14) and ANNNI (Refs. 15–18) models, as well as models that assume two critical modes per lattice site,\(^{20,21}\) are particularly successful in describing the phase transitions in AzBX\(_4\) compounds. Within some of these models (e.g., Refs. 20 and 21) one also obtains the first-order phase transitions between configurations having the same wave numbers but different symmetries. As was already stated in Sec. IV, this is not the case within model (1), i.e., although the EL equation (3) may possess two types of solutions with the same periods, only one type of solution participates in the phase diagram.

The present analysis again starts from the minimal Landau expansion (with terms up to the fourth order), but takes into consideration all solutions of the corresponding EL equation. In particular it indicates that the theoretical approach,\(^{40}\) proposed together with the first neutron-scattering measurements on Rb\(_2\)ZnBr\(_4\), might be essentially sufficient for the understanding of complex phase diagrams in AzBX\(_4\) materials. The more detailed analysis that takes into account some additional aspects, like the couplings to the homogeneous polarization and strain that appear in some materials as secondary order parameters, will be done elsewhere.

Betaine-calcium chloride dihydrate (BCCD), together with its deuterated version D-BCCD, belong to the second type of intensely studied materials with the commensurate lock-ins. It shows an exceptionally rich staircase going from \(q = 1/3\) down to \(q = 0\), with numerous intermediate steps of higher orders.\(^{48–50}\) A closer insight into the region of the phase diagram with the wave number between \(q = 1/3\) and \(q = 1/4\) shows that only the upper right triangle of the Farey tree from Fig. 3 is realized, i.e., the phase diagram is mostly covered by wave vectors close to \(q = 1/4\), and not by those close to \(q = 1/3\). This sequence of IC-C transitions was successfully interpreted within various discrete models with competing interactions, e.g., in Refs. 51 and 52. Within model (1) such a phase diagram corresponds to the regime in which the fourth-order umklapp term dominates with respect to that of the third order. Also, two types of extensions of our model may lead to the stabilization ofcommensurate phases with \(q < 1/4\). Namely, one may allow for negative values of the parameter \(\delta_4\), or start with other umklapp terms, e.g., with those of the fourth and fifth order, and pursue the analysis analogous to that of Secs. III and IV.

We also mention some other materials that exhibit a sequence of IC-C and commensurate-commensurate phase transitions between \(q = 1/3\) and \(q = 1/4\), but are not so extensively studied as the previous two examples. For example, Dénoyer et al.\(^{53}\) investigated NH\(_4\)HSeO\(_4\) and its deuterated version ND\(_4\)DSeO\(_4\) by neutron diffraction, and found the harmless staircase and first-order phase transitions, accompanied by the coexistence of several phases in the relatively wide range of temperatures. A series of IC-C and commensurate-commensurate phase transitions are also observed in BaZnGeO\(_4\) in X-ray diffraction measurements by Sakashita et al.\(^{34}\) and in electron-diffraction measurements by Yamamoto et al.\(^{55}\) that also provide dark field images of discommensurations appearing in the vicinity of a \(q = 1/3\) phase. An example of a particularly sharp transition from \(q = 1/3\) to \(q = 1/4\), with a very wide temperature range of the coexistence of these two commensurate phases, was found by Broda\(^{56}\) in (NH\(_4\)\(_2\))\(_2\)CoCl\(_4\), the material that also belongs to A\(_2\)BX\(_4\) family.

The free energy (1) is similar to that of Fradkin et al.,\(^{34}\) who also studied continuum systems with competing periodicities. The only difference between the two expressions is the absence of the factors 3 and 4 in front of the variable \(\phi(x)\) in the cosine terms of the model.\(^{34}\) However, in contrast to ours, the analysis carried out in Ref. 34 is limited to the close vicinity of the separatrices (and hyperbolic points) in the phase space,\(^{31}\) i.e., to the dilute soliton lattices. Then the continuum model can be converted into a discrete mapping of the FK type, analyzed in detail previously by Aubry.\(^3\) Our analysis covers the whole phase space, i.e., all solutions of the EL equation (3), and in particular the whole class of periodic configurations. In particular, our thermodynamic phase diagram (Figs. 7 and 9) includes, in contrast to that of Ref. 34, the most interesting part of the phase space, namely, that between two resonances (i.e., sets of hyperbolic points).

The model\(^54\) was the starting point in the investigation\(^57\) of the memory effects in systems with IC modulations, based on the earlier proposition\(^58\) that mobile defects might be responsible, by forming defect density waves, for the sensitivity of the IC ordering on the thermal history of crystal, observed, e.g., in thiourea.\(^59\) Errandonea\(^57\) argued that the double sine-Gordon model, with two lock-in potentials originating from the lattice defect density wave, is an appropriate description of this phenomena.

Model (1) provides the explanation of memory effects (together with thermal hystereses), without referring, in contrast to the models in Refs. 57 and 58, to defects as an intrinsic ingredient of the theory. At first, we note that the crossings of lines of first-order phase transitions in Figs. 7, 8, and 9 are accompanied by hystereses. Our preliminary analysis\(^56\) indicates that these hystereses may be rather wide on, e.g., temperature scale. Furthermore, the present analysis of the EL equation (3) shows that periodic solutions, which constitute the phase diagram, are immersed as isolated points into the environment of chaotic configurations. This environment prevents both the continuous variation of the wave number of ordering and the continuous phase transitions of the second and higher orders. The average free energy of chaotic solutions from Fig. 6(c) is the measure of the energetic barrier which the system has to overcome in order to pass from some periodic (metastable) configuration to another one with lower free energy. This is expected to be a common property of models that are nonintegrable (beside being nonlinear), and have thermodynamically stable periodic configurations isolated in the chaotic phase space.\(^12\)

The memory effects are also observed in class II of IC systems.\(^10\) The detailed analysis of phase diagram for this
class\textsuperscript{30} led to the conclusion that the corresponding phenomena seen in particular materials may be interpreted as well in terms similar to those presented above. However, it was also stressed\textsuperscript{31} that defects may have a secondary role as triggers that favor the stabilization of some domain patterns. This interpretation invokes neither the mobility of defects nor the formation of defect density waves. The analogous secondary influence of defects on memory phenomena is expected also in presently investigated systems of class I.

Finally, let us mention a common problem that arises in the analysis of continuous nonintegrable Landau models for uniaxial systems of classes I (Ref. 28) and II (Ref. 30) in which periodic solutions have an essential role in the extremalization of corresponding thermodynamic functionals. We recall that there is no firm universal principle that would favor the thermodynamic stability of the (meta)stable periodic configurations on account of other, quasiperiodic or chaotic, solutions of EL equations. Some hints in this direction for “autonomous” functionals (those for which the free-energy density does not depend explicitly on $x$) follow from the recently derived general criteria\textsuperscript{60} based on the additional extremalizations (like, e.g., those involving boundary conditions). However, these criteria cannot be directly applied to the present model since the explicit $x$ dependence in Eq. (1) introduces fundamental singularities in the additional extremalizations.\textsuperscript{60} Thus, the most important property of the phase diagrams from Figs. 7 and 9, their complete coverage by a finite number of periodic configurations, still awaits a deeper understanding.
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