Measurement of $K_S^0$ and $K^{\ast 0}$ in $p + p$, $d + Au$, and $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}_{NN} = 200$ GeV
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The PHENIX experiment at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider has performed a systematic study of $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ meson production at midrapidity in $p + p$, $d + Au$, and $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons are reconstructed via their decay modes, $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)\pi^0(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ and $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decay modes, respectively. The measured transverse-momentum spectra are used to determine the nuclear modification factor of $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons in $d + Au$ and $Cu + Cu$ collisions at different centralities. In the $d + Au$ collisions, the nuclear modification factor of $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons is almost constant as a function of transverse momentum and is consistent with unity, showing that cold-nuclear-matter effects do not play a significant role in the measured kinematic range. In $Cu + Cu$ collisions, within the uncertainties no nuclear modification is registered in peripheral collisions. In central collisions, both mesons show suppression relative to the expectations from the kinematic range. In Cu collisions, within the uncertainties no nuclear modification is registered in peripheral collisions. In central collisions, both mesons show suppression relative to the expectations from the kinematic range. In Cu collisions, within the uncertainties no nuclear modification is registered in peripheral collisions.
state of matter where the degrees of freedom are quarks and gluons [1]. This state of matter exhibits very strong coupling between its constituents and is thus called the strongly coupled quark-gluon plasma (sQGP) [2]. Matter at such high energy density can be produced in laboratory conditions by colliding heavy nuclei at relativistic energies. Many measurements are available from experiments at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [3].

High-momentum penetrating probes are among the observables attracting primary attention. Highly energetic partons traversing the sQGP medium suffer significant energy loss [4,5], leading to modification of the fragmentation functions [6] and softening of the measured transverse momentum \( p_T \) distribution. The softening of the spectrum is quantified by the “nuclear modification factor” \( R_{AB} \) defined as

\[
R_{AB} = \frac{d^2N_{AB}/dydp_T}{N_{coll} \times d^2N_{pp}/dydp_T},
\]

where the numerator is the per-event yield of particle production in \( A + B \) (heavy-ion) collisions, measured as a function of \( p_T \), \( d^2N_{pp}/dydp_T \) is the per-event yield of the same process in \( p + p \) collisions, and \( N_{coll} \) is the number of nucleon-nucleon collisions in the \( A + B \) system [7,8]. \( R_{AB} \) different from unity is a manifestation of medium effects. However, to untangle final-state effects, such as energy loss, from possible contributions of cold nuclear matter and initial-state effects (e.g., shadowing [9] and the Cronin effect [10]), the nuclear modification factor must also be measured in systems like \( p + A \) or \( d + A \).

A significant suppression of hadrons produced in heavy-ion collisions was first measured at RHIC [7,11–19] and recently at the LHC [20,21] also with fully reconstructed jets [22–24]. In central \( Au + Au \) collisions at RHIC, \( R_{AB} \) of hadrons reaches a maximum suppression of a factor of \( \sim 5 \) at \( p_T \sim 5 \text{ GeV/c} \) [12,14,15,25]. At higher \( p_T \), the suppression is found to be independent of the particle type, mesons or baryons, and their quark flavor content [26–28]. In central \( Pb + Pb \) collisions at the LHC, the suppression reaches a factor of \( \sim 7 \) at \( p_T \sim 6 \text{ GeV/c} \) [20,21]. At higher \( p_T \), the \( R_{AB} \) starts to increase reaching a value of \( 0.5 \) at \( p_T > 40 \text{ GeV/c} \).

In the intermediate \( p_T \) range (2 < \( p_T < 5 \text{ GeV/c} \)), mesons containing light quarks (\( \pi, \eta \)) exhibit suppression [14,29], whereas protons show very little or no suppression [29–31]. Other processes, such as the Cronin effect [10], strong radial flow [32], and recombination effects [33] have been invoked to explain the differences between mesons and baryons in this momentum range. Recent results obtained at the LHC in \( p + Pb \) collisions [34–36] and at RHIC in \( d + Au \) collisions [29,37] suggest that collective effects might be present even in small systems and can significantly modify the particle properties in the intermediate transverse momentum range.

Measurements of particles with different quark content provide additional constraints on the models of collective behavior, parton energy loss, and parton recombination. Experimental measurements of particles containing strange quarks are important to find out whether flow or recombination mechanisms boost strange hadron production at intermediate \( p_T \) and to understand their suppression at high \( p_T \). In heavy-ion collisions, the \( \phi \) meson [15] shows at high \( p_T \) the same suppression as particles containing only \( u \) and \( d \) quarks; however, at intermediate \( p_T \) it is less suppressed than the \( \pi \) meson. However, the \( \eta \) meson, which has a significant strange quark content, is suppressed at the same level as the \( \pi \) meson in the \( p_T \) range from 2 to 10 GeV/c [14]. Open questions concern which physics mechanism prevails in the intermediate \( p_T \) region and which processes are responsible for the suppression of particles with strange quark content.

This article presents results of the \( K_S^0 \) and \( K^{*0} \) meson production as a function of \( p_T \) at midrapidity in \( p + p \), \( d + Au \), and \( Cu + Cu \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV} \). The present measurements significantly extend the \( p_T \) reach of the previous PHENIX results on the measurement of \( K_S^0 \) meson in \( p + p \) collisions [38]. The \( K_S^0 \) meson is reconstructed via the \( K_S^0 \to \pi^0(\to \gamma \gamma)\eta(\to \gamma \gamma) \) decay mode. The \( K^{*0} \) and \( K^{*0} \) mesons are reconstructed via the \( K^{*0} \to K^*^0\pi^- \) and \( K^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+ \) decay modes, respectively. The yields measured for the \( K^{*0} \) and \( K^{*0} \) mesons are averaged together and denoted as \( K^{*0} \).

The invariant transverse momentum spectra for \( K_S^0 \) mesons are measured over the \( p_T \) range of 2–13 (3–12) GeV/c in the \( d + Au \) and \( Cu + Cu \) collision systems. The \( K^{*0} \) meson spectra are measured in the \( p_T \) range from 1.1 up to 8–8.5 GeV/c, depending on the collision system. The measurements extend the momentum coverage of the previously published results by the STAR Collaboration [39–41]. The nuclear modification factors are obtained for both particles in \( d + Au \) and \( Cu + Cu \) collisions at different centralities and are compared with those of the \( \phi \) and \( \pi^0 \) mesons. The measured \( p_T \) ranges and the centrality bins used in the different systems are listed in Table I.

The paper is organized as follows. The next section gives a brief description of the PHENIX detector. The analysis procedures used to measure \( K_S^0 \) and \( K^{*0} \) mesons are described in Sec. III. The results, including the invariant \( p_T \) distributions and \( R_{AB} \), are given in Sec. IV. A summary is given in Sec. V.

### Table I. Summary of centrality bins and measured \( p_T \) ranges for the \( K_S^0 \) and \( K^{*0} \) studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collision system</th>
<th>Centrality bins (% of ( 100 % ))</th>
<th>Measured ( p_T ) range (GeV/c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K_S^0 )</td>
<td>( d + Au ) 0–20, 20–40, 40–60, 60–88</td>
<td>2.0–13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Cu + Cu )</td>
<td>( d + Au ) 0–20, 20–40, 40–60, 60–88</td>
<td>3.0–12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K^{*0} )</td>
<td>( p + p ) –</td>
<td>1.1–8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d + Au )</td>
<td>( d + Au ) 0–20, 20–40, 40–60, 60–88</td>
<td>1.1–8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Cu + Cu )</td>
<td>( d + Au ) 0–20, 20–40, 40–60, 60–94</td>
<td>1.4–8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. PHENIX DETECTOR

A detailed description of the PHENIX detector can be found in Ref. [42]. The analysis reported here is performed using the two central-arm spectrometers, each covering an azimuthal angle \( \phi = \pi/2 \) and pseudorapidity \( |\eta| < 0.35 \) [43] at midrapidity. Each arm comprises a drift chamber (DC), two or three layers of pad chambers (PCs), a ring-imaging Čerenkov (RICH) detector, an electromagnetic calorimeter...
(EMCal), and a time-of-flight (TOF) detector. This analysis uses the east arm of the TOF detector that covers $\pi/4$ in $\phi$.

The global event information is provided by the beam-beam counters (BBCs) [44], which are used for event triggering, collision time determination, measurement of the vertex position along the beam axis, and the centrality determination [8,45]. The typical vertex position resolution of the BBC depends on the track multiplicity and varies from $\sim 1.1$ cm in $p + p$ collisions to $\sim 3$ mm in central $Au + Au$ collisions.

Track reconstruction in PHENIX is provided by two detectors: DC and PC [43]. The DC and the first layer of the PC (PC1) form the inner tracking system, whereas PC2 and PC3 form the outer tracker. The DC is a multiwire gaseous detector located outside the magnetic field between the radii of 2.02 and 2.48 m in each PHENIX arm. The DC measures the track position with an angular resolution of $\sim 0.8$ mrad in the bending plane perpendicular to the beam axis. A combinatorial Hough transform technique [46] is used to determine the track direction in azimuth and its bending angle in the axial magnetic field of the central magnet [47]. The track-reconstruction algorithm approximates all tracks in the volume of the DC with straight lines and assumes their origin at the collision vertex. This information is then combined with the hit information in PC1, which immediately follows the DC along the particle tracks. PC1 provides the $z$-coordinate information with a spatial resolution of $\sigma_z \sim 1.7$ mm. The resulting momentum resolution for charged particles with $p_T > 0.2$ GeV/c is $\delta p/p = 0.7 \pm 1.1\% p$(GeV/c), where the first term represents multiple scattering and the second term is attributable to the intrinsic angular resolution of the DC. Matching the tracks to hits in PC2 and PC3 located at radii of 4.2 and 5.0 m, respectively, helps to reject secondary tracks that originate either from decays of long-lived hadrons or from interactions with the detector material. Detailed information on the PHENIX tracking can be found in Refs. [43,48].

The TOF detector [49] identifies charged hadrons: pions, kaons, and protons. It is located at a radial distance of 5.06 m from the interaction point in the east central arm. The total timing resolution of TOF east is 130 ps, which includes the start time determination from the BBC. This allows for a 2.6σ $\pi/K$ separation up to $p_T \simeq 2.5$ GeV/c and $K/p$ separation up to $p_T = 4.5$ GeV/c using an asymmetric particle-identification (PID) cut, as described in Ref. [50].

The EMCal [51] uses lead-scintillator (PbSc) and lead-glass (PbGl) technologies and measures the position and energy of electrons and photons. It also provides a trigger on rare events with high momentum photons. The EMCal covers the full acceptance of the central spectrometers and is divided into eight sectors in azimuth. Six PbSc sectors are located at a radial distance of 5.1 m from the beamline and comprise 15 552 PbSc sandwich towers with cross section of 5.5 $\times$ 5.5 cm$^2$ and depth of 18 radiation lengths ($X_0$). Two PbGl sectors are located at a distance of 5 m and comprise 9216 towers of 4 $\times$ 4 cm$^2$ and a depth of 14.3$X_0$. Most electromagnetic showers extend over several towers. Groups of adjacent towers with signals above a threshold that are associated with the same shower form an EMCal cluster. The energy resolution of the PbSc (PbGl) calorimeter is $\delta E/E = 2.1(0.8\%) \pm 8.1(5.9)/\sqrt{E[GeV]}\%$. The spatial resolution of the PbSc (PbGl) calorimeter reaches $\sigma(E) = 1.55(0.2) \div 5.74(8.4)/\sqrt{E[GeV]}$ mm for particles at normal incidence.

Analyses presented in this paper use both the minimum bias (MB) and the rare-event, EMCal-RICH trigger (ERT). For $p + p$, $d + Au$, and $Cu + Cu$ collisions, the MB trigger requires a coincidence of at least one channel firing on each side of the BBC. It further requires the vertex position along the beam axis $z$, as determined from the BBC timing information, to be within 38 cm of the nominal center of the interaction region. Photon ERT utilizes the EMCal to select events with at least one registered high-$p_T$ photon or electron. For every EMCal supermodule [51], the ERT sums the registered energy in adjacent $4 \times 4$ EMCal towers. This trigger is used to collect samples for the $K_S^0$ meson analysis. The trigger fires if the summed energy exceeds the 1.4- and 2.8-GeV thresholds in $d + Au$ and $Cu + Cu$ collisions, respectively. The calculation of the ERT efficiency for photons and $K_S^0$ mesons is described in Sec. III C.

### III. ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

This section describes the analysis procedure for the measurement of the $K_S^0$ meson and $K^{\pm}$ meson transverse momentum spectra. The measurements are done using the data sets collected by the PHENIX experiment in the 2005 ($p + p$ and $Cu + Cu$) and in the 2008 ($d + Au$) physics runs. The data samples used in the analysis correspond to integrated luminosities of 3.78 pb$^{-1}$ in $p + p$, 81 nb$^{-1}$ in $d + Au$, and 3.06 nb$^{-1}$ in $Cu + Cu$ collision systems. The mesons are reconstructed via the decay modes $K_S^0 \to \pi^0(\to \gamma\gamma)$ and $K^{\pm}\to K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}$. The MB-triggered data samples are used for the $K^{\pm}$ meson study in $p + p$, $d + Au$, and $Cu + Cu$ systems. The $K_S^0$ meson measurements are done using both the MB and ERT-triggered data samples in $d + Au$ and $Cu + Cu$ collisions. The MB samples provide the measurements at low and intermediate $p_T$. The low $p_T$ reach of these measurements is limited by the rapidly decreasing signal-to-background ratio and subsequent difficulties in the extraction of the $K_S^0$ meson raw yield. The ERT-triggered data give access to intermediate- and high-$p_T$ production of $K_S^0$ mesons owing to larger sampled luminosities. In the overlap region, results obtained with the MB and ERT data samples are found to be in very good agreement. For the final $K_S^0$ meson production spectrum in $d + Au$ ($Cu + Cu$) collisions, the MB results are used up to 4 (5) GeV/c and the ERT results are used at higher transverse momenta. Details about the $K_S^0$ meson measurement in $p + p$ collisions can be found in Ref. [38].

#### A. Reconstruction of $K_S^0$ meson invariant mass

The $K_S^0$ meson with a lifetime of $c \tau \sim 2.7$-cm decays to two $\pi^0$ mesons with a branching ratio $BR = 30.69 \pm 0.05\%$ [52].

The neutral pions further decay into two photons with $BR = 98.823 \pm 0.034\%$ [52]. The $\pi^0$ mesons are measured by combining the pair of photon clusters reconstructed in the EMCal. The energy of the clusters is measured in the EMCal and momentum components are calculated assuming that the particle originates at the event vertex. Besides electromagnetic showers created by photons and electrons, the EMCal also registers showers associated with hadrons. Because hadron
shower shape expected for electromagnetic showers. Most hadrons are not absorbed in the EMCal and traverse it as a shower profile cut is based on a comparison of the registered shower profile cut [53] is used to reject hadronlike clusters. The typical hadron energy loss in the EMCal is minimum ionizing particles. The typical hadron energy loss in the EMCal is ~0.3 GeV [53]. To reduce hadron contamination and to account for the poorer EMCal resolution at lower energies, a minimum energy $E_\gamma > 0.2$ GeV is required for clusters reconstructed in all $d + Au$ events and in peripheral $Cu + Cu$ events. In more central $Cu + Cu$ collisions it is increased to $E_\gamma > 0.4$ GeV. The two clusters from the same $\pi^0$ meson are also required to fall within the acceptance of the same EMCal sector to suppress boundary effects. The energy balance between the two clusters forming a $\pi^0$ candidate is characterized by $\alpha = (|E_1 - E_2|)/(|E_1 + E_2|)$, where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are the cluster energies. For $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays the parameter $\alpha$ has an almost flat distribution between 0 and 1 [53]. Owing to the steeply falling $p_T$ spectrum of all particles produced in the event, most of the EMCal clusters have a low energy partner; therefore, the distribution of the parameter $\alpha$ calculated for combinatorial pairs has a distinct peak close to 1 for high-$p_T$ pairs. To exclude those pairs, parameter $\alpha$ is required to be less than 0.8.

A pair of $\gamma$ clusters is selected as a $\pi^0$ candidate if its reconstructed invariant mass is within $\pm 2$ standard deviations from a parameterized $\pi^0$ mass,

$$|M_{\gamma\gamma}(p_T) - M_{\pi^0}(p_T)| R_M(p_T)\times <2\sigma_{\pi^0}(p_T)R_\sigma(p_T),$$

where $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ is the reconstructed invariant mass of a pair of the $\gamma$ clusters, $p_T$ is the transverse momentum of the pair, $M_{\pi^0}(p_T)$ and $\sigma_{\pi^0}(p_T)$ are the parameterizations of the mass, and $\sigma$ width of the $\pi^0$ peak as a function of transverse momentum. The parametrization is performed using an inclusive sample of $\pi^0$ mesons. $R_M(p_T)$ and $R_\sigma(p_T)$ are correction factors accounting for the difference between inclusive $\pi^0$ mesons and neutral pions produced in $K^0_S$ meson decays.

To determine $M_{\pi^0}(p_T)$ and $\sigma_{\pi^0}(p_T)$, the peak position and width of the $\pi^0$ peak in the invariant mass distribution of the cluster pairs are measured for different $p_T$ bins and are parameterized as a function of $p_T$. The mass and width of the $\pi^0$ are determined by fitting the invariant mass distribution with a sum of a Gaussian function describing the signal and a second-order polynomial describing the background. Figure 1 shows reconstructed mass and width of the $\pi^0$ as a function of $p_T$ in $Cu + Cu$ collisions for one of the EMCal sectors. The uncertainties in the fit parameters, in both data and simulations, are of the order of 1 MeV/$c^2$ and are not shown in the figure.

Because of the long lifetime of the $K_S^0$ meson, the neutral pions from its decay are produced at a displaced vertex and thus the momentum components of the clusters are misreconstructed. This results in a different reconstructed mass and width of $\pi^0$ mesons from $K_S^0$ decays compared to those reconstructed for inclusive $\pi^0$ mesons that mostly originate from the event vertex. In the data we have no means to isolate a sample of neutral pions from $K_S^0$ meson decays. Therefore, a quantitative study of this effect is possible only in Monte Carlo simulation. Samples of $\pi^0$ mesons produced from the decay of $K_S^0$ mesons with a realistic $p_T$ distribution and neutral pions produced at the primary collision vertex with the inclusive $p_T$ distribution were generated. Neutral pions were reconstructed using the same analysis chain as in real data. From Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), one can see that the reconstructed masses and widths of simulated inclusive $\pi^0$ mesons (circles) originating from the event vertex are consistent with the values measured in real data (open crosses). Neutral pions from $K_S^0$ decays are reconstructed with smaller mass and larger width. The correction factors $R_M(p_T)$ and $R_\sigma(p_T)$ are calculated as the ratio of the parameterizations of $M_{\pi^0}(p_T)$ and $\sigma_{\pi^0}(p_T)$ for $Cu + Cu$ collisions.

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Reconstructed mass and (b) $1\sigma$ Gaussian width of $\pi^0$ as a function of the reconstructed $p_T$ for inclusive $\pi^0$ mesons from data (open crosses), simulations (circles), and for $\pi^0$ coming from $K^0_S$ decays (squares) in Cu + Cu collisions.](image-url)
neutral pions from $K_S^0$ mesons and inclusive $\pi^0$ mesons. These correction factors improve the signal-to-background ratio by 30%–50%.

The $K_S^0$ mesons are reconstructed by combining the $\pi^0$ candidates in pairs within the same event. Pairs of $\pi^0$ candidates that share the same cluster are rejected. To improve the signal-to-background ratio $\pi^0$, candidates are required to have $p_T > 1.0$ GeV/c in the $d + Au$ sample and $p_T > 1.5$ GeV/c for $Cu + Cu$ events with centrality >20% and $p_T > 2$ GeV/c for $Cu + Cu$ events with centrality <20%.

The red squares in Fig. 2 give an example of the invariant mass distribution for $\pi^0\pi^0$ pairs measured in the MB $d + Au$ collisions at $8 < p_T < 9$ GeV/c. Owing to the steeply falling $p_T$ spectrum of produced particles, the finite energy/position resolution and nonlinear response of the EMCal, the reconstructed mass of $\pi^0$ mesons differs from the nominal PDG value $M_{PDG} = 134.98$ MeV [52]. To match the reconstructed mass of $\pi^0$ candidates to the PDG value, the energy and momentum of clusters building a pair are multiplied by the ratio of measured and nominal $\pi^0$ mass: $M_{PDG}/M_{\gamma\gamma}$. This correction decreases the width of reconstructed $K_S^0$ meson peak by ≈50%. An example of the invariant mass distribution after energy correction is shown with blue open crosses in Fig. 2. The black circles correspond to the case when $\pi^0$ candidate selection is changed according to Eq. (2) to account for the difference between inclusive $\pi^0$ mesons and neutral pions produced in $K_S^0$ meson decays.

![Image of Fig. 2 from the document](image)

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) The invariant mass distribution for $\pi^0\pi^0$ pairs measured in the MB $d + Au$ collisions at $8 < p_T < 9$ GeV/c. The invariant mass reconstructed without any corrections is shown with red squares. The invariant mass reconstructed after corrections for the mass of reconstructed $\pi^0$ to the PDG value is shown with blue open circles. Same with additional correction accounting for the difference between inclusive $\pi^0$ mesons and neutral pions produced in $K_S^0$ meson decay as described in the text is shown with black circles.

The $K_S^0$ meson raw yield in each $p_T$ bin is extracted by fitting the $\pi^0\pi^0$ invariant mass distribution to a combination of a Gaussian function for the signal and a polynomial for the background. A second-order polynomial provided adequate description of the background shape outside of the $K_S^0$ peak and varied smoothly under the peak. The fitting range was set to about ±8 standard deviations from the peak center and was enough to constrain the fit. A wider fitting range would require a higher order polynomial to describe the background. All fits resulted in $\chi^2$/d.o.f. values close to 1. The $K_S^0$ meson yield in each $p_T$ bin is calculated as the integral of the Gaussian function. Examples of $\pi^0\pi^0$ invariant mass distributions are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) for $d + Au$ and $Cu + Cu$, respectively.

The typical signal/background ratio, integrated within ±2$\sigma$ around particle mass, for different centrality classes grows from 0.5 to 0.86 (0.04–0.85) in $d + Au$ ($Cu + Cu$) collisions with increasing transverse momentum. The width and the mass of the reconstructed $K_S^0$ mesons were found to be in good agreement with the values expected from simulation.

**B. Reconstruction of $K^{*0}$ meson invariant mass**

The $K^{*0}$ and $\overline{K}^{*0}$ mesons are reconstructed from their hadronic decay channels $K^+\pi^-$ and $K^-\pi^+$, respectively. We denote the average of $K^{*0}$ and $\overline{K}^{*0}$ as $K^{*0}$. Tracks selected for this analysis are required to have $p_T > 0.3$ GeV/c. The TOF system used in this analysis covers approximately one half of the east central-arm spectrometer acceptance and can identify charged kaons up to approximately 2.5 GeV/c [50]. To extend the high-$p_T$ reach of the $K^{*0}$ meson measurement, unidified, oppositely charged tracks are also included in the analysis. These tracks are required to have associated hits in PC3 or EMCal and are referred to as the PC3-matched tracks. Depending on the track selection criteria, three different techniques are considered in this analysis:

(i) **fully identified**, where tracks are identified as kaons and pions via the TOF;
(ii) **kaon identified**, where one of the tracks is identified as a kaon via the TOF and the other is a PC3-matched track to which the pion mass is assigned;
(iii) **unidentified**, where both tracks are the PC3-matched tracks.

The three techniques are exclusive to each other and statistically independent. The PC3-matched tracks are assigned the nominal mass of the $\pi$ or $K$ mesons depending on which technique is used. The $p_T$ ranges accessible in the different techniques in $p + p, d + Au$, and $Cu + Cu$ collisions are given in Table II.

The “fully identified” sample with both charged particles identified in the TOF has the highest signal-to-background ratio and provides access to $K^{*0}$ meson production at low and intermediate $p_T$. However, owing to the limited PID capabilities of the TOF technique and the small acceptance of the TOF detector, this data set does not provide sufficient statistical precision for $p_T > 4$ GeV/c. The “kaon identified” sample allows for the best signal extraction at intermediate $p_T$. The “unidentified” sample has a poor signal-to-background
ratio that prevents signal extraction at low $p_T$. Signal extraction is possible at higher $p_T > 2.3 \text{ GeV/c}$ in $p + p$ or $d + Au$ collisions and $p_T > 2.9 \text{ GeV/c}$ in Cu + Cu collisions, because of the smaller combinatorial background. The highest $p_T$ reach of $K^{*0}$ measurements with the “unidentified” sample is limited only by the sampled luminosity. Measurements performed with the three techniques have a wide overlap region that is used for evaluation of the systematic uncertainties.

The invariant mass distribution for $K\pi$ pairs comprises both signal and background. The uncorrelated part of the background that arises from the random combination of tracks in the same event is estimated using the mixed-event technique [54]. The event-mixing technique combines positively (negatively) charged tracks from one event with the charged tracks of opposite sign from another event within the same centrality class. The number of mixed events for each event in the data is set to 20 for $p + p$ and $d + Au$ and to 10 for Cu + Cu collisions to have sufficient statistics. The mixed-event invariant mass distribution is normalized by the number of events mixed and then it is subtracted from the unlike-sign distributions. The correlated part of the background is dominated by track pairs from misreconstructed or not fully reconstructed decays of light hadrons. Two such processes, $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, produce smeared peak structures in the invariant mass distribution in the close vicinity of the $K^{*0}$ mass peak. Contributions of these two sources are estimated using measured yields of the $\phi$ meson [15] and $K_S^0$ meson [38]. The location and shape of these peaks are modeled by the PHENIX-based simulations. The estimated contributions are then normalized by the number of events analyzed for $K^{*0}$ meson and subtracted from the measured $K^{*0}$ invariant mass distributions. Apart from these contributions, a residual background owing to other correlated sources [39] remains in the subtracted spectra. The residual background is different depending on the collision systems, analysis techniques, and the pair $p_T$. Examples of invariant mass distributions for $K\pi$ candidates, where the $K$ is identified in the TOF and the pion mass is given to the PC3 matched tracks, are shown in Figs. 4(a)–4(c) for $p + p$, $d + Au$, and Cu + Cu collisions, respectively. The distributions are shown after subtraction of the mixed-event background and correlated background from $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$. The contribution from $K_{10}^{*0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ is negligible in this case, as $K$ is identified in the TOF. The $\phi$ contribution is shown by the magenta histogram. It is seen that this contribution is very small in the Cu + Cu case, even smaller in the $d + Au$ case, and negligible in the $p + p$ case. The residual background is clearly seen in the subtracted mass spectra. In the “fully identified technique,” this residual background is relatively small. It is larger in the
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FIG. 3. (Color online) The invariant mass reconstructed from two $\pi^0$ mesons in the range $5 < p_T < 6 \text{ GeV/c}$ in (a) $d + Au$ and (b) Cu + Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ for the MB data. The distributions are approximated by a Gaussian plus a second-order polynomial shown by solid red and blue dashed curves, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collision system</th>
<th>Technique used</th>
<th>$p_T$ range (GeV/c)</th>
<th>S/B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p + p$</td>
<td>Fully identified</td>
<td>1.1–4.0</td>
<td>0.011–0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaon identified</td>
<td>1.1–4.0</td>
<td>0.005–0.0147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>2.3–8.0</td>
<td>0.006–0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d + Au$</td>
<td>Fully identified</td>
<td>1.1–4.0</td>
<td>0.009–0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaon identified</td>
<td>1.4–4.5</td>
<td>0.003–0.0118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>2.3–8.5</td>
<td>0.009–0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu + Cu</td>
<td>Fully identified</td>
<td>1.4–4.0</td>
<td>0.0048–0.0076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaon identified</td>
<td>1.7–4.5</td>
<td>0.0006–0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>2.9–8.0</td>
<td>0.0011–0.0036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“kaon-identified technique” and even larger in the analysis based on unidentified tracks.

The invariant mass distribution in each $p_T$ bin is fit to the sum of a relativistic Breit-Wigner (RBW) function for the signal and a second- or third-order polynomial for the residual background,

$$RBW = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{M_{K^0} M_{K^0\pi} \Gamma}{(M_{K^0}^2 - M_{K^0\pi}^2)^2 + M_{K^0\pi}^2 \Gamma^2}, \quad (3)$$

where $M_{K^0}$ is the reconstructed invariant mass, $M_{K^0\pi}$ is the fitted mass of the $K^0\pi$ meson, and $\Gamma$ is the width of the $K^0\pi$ meson fixed to the value obtained from simulation. Because the experimental mass resolution ($\sim 5$ MeV/$c^2$) is much smaller than the natural width of the $K^0\pi$ meson, the simulated $\Gamma$ is very close to the nominal width of 48.7 MeV/$c^2$ [52].

Owing to the difference in the shape of the invariant mass distributions of $K^0_S$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons, two different methods are used to obtain their raw yields. The reconstructed $K^0_S$ meson peak in the invariant mass distribution has a Gaussian shape with a width of $\sim 12$–14 MeV/$c^2$, whereas the $K^{*0}$ meson peak has much wider width ($\sim 48$ MeV/$c^2$) and long tails intrinsic to RBW distribution. Hence, it is convenient to use the Gaussian integral to obtain the raw yield for $K^0_S$ meson owing to its well-defined shape. To obtain the raw yield for $K^{*0}$ meson, it is sensible to use bin counting in a limited mass window. In the present analysis we used a mass window of $\pm 75$ MeV/$c^2$, around the nominal mass of $K^{*0}$ meson, which includes both signal and residual background. The residual background contribution is obtained by integrating the background component of the fit (second- or third-order polynomial) in the same mass window and subtracted from the total signal to obtain the raw yield for $K^{*0}$ meson. It is important to note that both the integration and the bin counting methods are used to estimate the systematic uncertainties in the $K^0_S$ and $K^{*0}$ meson yields (see Sec. III D).

C. Calculation of invariant yields

The invariant yields of $K^0_S$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons are calculated by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{d^2 N}{dp_T dy} = \frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{d^2 N}{dp_T dy} \times \frac{Y_{raw}}{N_{evt} \epsilon(p_T) BR} \times \frac{C_{bias}}{\epsilon_{treff}}, \quad (4)$$

where $Y_{raw}$ is the meson raw yield (see Secs. III A and III B), $N_{evt}$ is the number of sampled events in the centrality bin, and $\epsilon(p_T)$ includes geometrical acceptance, reconstruction efficiency, and occupancy effects in the high-multiplicity environment of heavy-ion collisions. The branching ratio (BR) for $K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ is 30.69 ± 0.05% (BR for $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ is 98.823 ± 0.034%). The branching ratio for the $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ is close to 67%. The trigger bias correction $C_{bias}$ is 0.69 [15] for $p + p$ collisions and for $d + Au$ collisions it varies from 1.03 to 0.94 [29] with increasing centrality. The trigger bias correction in the Cu + Cu collision system is taken equal to unity in all analyzed centrality bins. The ERT efficiency for $K^0_S$ meson $\epsilon_{treff}$ determines the probability of $K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 \rightarrow 4\gamma$ decay products to fire the ERT. For the $K^{*0}$, which uses no additional trigger, $\epsilon_{treff} = 1$.

The invariant cross section in the $p + p$ system is given by

$$E \frac{d^3 \sigma}{dp^3} = \sigma_{pp}^{inel} \times \frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{d^2 N}{dp_T dy}, \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma_{pp}^{inel} = 42.2 \pm 3$ mb [38] is the total inelastic cross section in $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV.
The reconstruction efficiencies for the $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons are obtained from Monte Carlo simulations. Both the $K_S^0$ and the $K^{*0}$ mesons are generated using the single-particle event generator EXODUS [55]. The primary mesons are decayed into the measured channel and all particles are traced through the PHENIX setup using the GEANT [56]-based PHENIX simulation package. The decayed particles are reconstructed using the same analysis procedures used in the analysis of real data. The reconstruction efficiency is calculated as the ratio of the number of reconstructed mesons counted in the real data. The reconstruction efficiency grows steeply with energy and reaches 50% at the energy approximately corresponding to the twice the threshold energy. The level of saturation is below 100% because of inactive areas of the ERT. The trigger efficiency for $K_S^0$ meson ($\epsilon_{\text{ref}}$) is evaluated using Monte Carlo simulations. The $K_S^0$ meson is considered to fire the ERT if at least one of the photons in the final state fires the trigger. The resulting trigger efficiency for $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)\pi^0(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is shown in Fig. 6(b). The trigger efficiency uncertainty for $K_S^0$ mesons was evaluated by varying the single-photon ERT efficiency within the uncertainties of the measurement.

D. Systematic uncertainties

1. Systematic uncertainties for $K_S^0$

Several factors contribute to the systematic uncertainty of the measurement of the $K_S^0$ meson invariant yield: the raw yield extraction, the reconstruction efficiency and detector acceptance, and the $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ decay branching ratio uncertainty. Evaluation of the systematic uncertainties associated with the $K_S^0$ meson raw yield extraction is done by varying the raw yield extraction method and by modifying the background shape around the $K_S^0$ peak. The $\pi^0\pi^0$ invariant mass distribution is approximated by a second-order polynomial outside three standard deviations from the center of the peak region. The polynomial is then interpolated under the peak and subtracted from it. The yield is obtained by integrating the subtracted invariant mass distribution in a three-standard-deviation window around the mean of the peak. To modify the background shape, the “cross $\pi^0$ meson” cut is used. This cut significantly changes the background shape in the invariant mass distributions of $\pi^0\pi^0$ pairs in the vicinity of the $K_S^0$ meson peak. If two photons with the largest energy, assigned to different $\pi^0$ candidates, produce an invariant mass within $\pm 4 \times \sigma_e(p_T)$ from the $M_{\pi^0}(p_T)$ given in Eq. (2), the
entire combination of four clusters is rejected. The rms of
the corrected raw yields obtained in all combinations of yield
extraction and background modification is taken as an estimate
of the systematic uncertainty for the signal extraction.

The uncertainty in the reconstruction efficiency is dominated
by mismatches in detector performance between data and Monte Carlo. The uncertainty on the EMCal acceptance
is estimated by artificially increasing dead areas in the EMCal by 10% and redoing the analysis. To estimate the contribution of the EMCal energy resolution to the systematic uncertainty,
the $K^0_S$ meson reconstruction efficiency is recalculated with
the energy resolution artificially worsened by 3%. The 3% variation of the energy resolution was chosen as a maximum value that would still provide consistency between the $\pi^0$ meson widths from real data and simulations. The contribution of the EMCal energy scale uncertainty was estimated by varying the energy scale within $\pm 1\%$ in simulation. The variation range is constrained by the $\pi^0$ meson peak positions in real data and simulation. Photon conversion in the detector material is accounted for in the calculation of the reconstruction efficiency. However, detector materials are described in the simulation with some precision and thus an uncertainty associated with the photon conversion is introduced. The conversion correction uncertainty was estimated in Ref. [53] to be equal to 3% for the neutral pions. Thus, the $K^0_S$ meson conversion correction uncertainty is 6%.

The $\pi^0$ meson candidates are selected within two standard deviations around the $\pi^0$ meson peak position in the invariant mass distribution of two photons. The difference between the $\pi^0$ meson width parametrizations in real data and Monte Carlo simulations does not exceed 10%. To estimate the $\pi^0$ selection cut uncertainty, the window around the $\pi^0$ meson peak position is varied by 10%. The difference between the $K^0_S$ meson reconstruction efficiencies calculated with changed and default cuts is taken as the uncertainty related to the $\pi^0$ candidate selection cut. The $K^0_S$ meson trigger efficiency uncertainty is evaluated by varying the single photon $\epsilon_\gamma$, trigger efficiency within the uncertainties of its measurement. Relative systematic uncertainties for the $K^0_S$ meson measurements in $d + Au$ and $Cu + Cu$ systems are given in Table III. The uncertainties are categorized by types: A, B, and C. Type A denotes the $p_T$ uncorrelated uncertainty, type B denotes the $p_T$ correlated uncertainty, and type C denotes the overall normalization uncertainty such as the MB trigger efficiency in $p + p$ and $d + Au$ collisions, branching ratio of the parent particle, $\gamma$-conversion factor, etc.

### 2. Systematic uncertainties for $K^{*0}$

The main systematic uncertainties of the $K^{*0}$ measurement include uncertainties in the raw yield extraction, EMCal-PC3 matching, TOF PID cuts, track momentum reconstruction,

### Table III. Relative systematic uncertainties in percent for the $K^*_0$ meson measurement. The given ranges indicate the variation of the systematic uncertainty over the $p_T$ range of the measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$d + Au$ (%)</th>
<th>$Cu + Cu$ (%)</th>
<th>Uncertainty type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw yield extraction</td>
<td>4–31</td>
<td>14–26</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERT efficiency</td>
<td>2–7</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMCal energy</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMCal scale</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi^0$ selection</td>
<td>5–11</td>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ conversion</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching ratio</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBC cross section</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV. Relative systematic uncertainties in percent for the \(K^{*0}\) meson measurement in “kaon identified” technique. The given ranges indicate the variation of the systematic uncertainty over the \(p_T\) range of the measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>(p + p) (%)</th>
<th>(d + \text{Au}) (MB)</th>
<th>(Cu + Cu) (MB)</th>
<th>Uncertainty type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw yield extraction</td>
<td>5–8</td>
<td>7–12</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>3–7</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track momentum reconstruction</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>2–7</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track matching</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>4–7</td>
<td>2–13</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOF PID</td>
<td>1–6</td>
<td>4–9</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBC cross section</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The systematic uncertainty associated with the raw yield extraction is estimated by varying the fitting ranges, varying the width of the \(K^{*0}\) meson peak by \(\pm 2\%\) around its simulated value and taking the integral of the fitted RBW function instead of summing up the yield in each \(p_T\) bin. In addition, the yield difference when the \(K^{*0}\) meson mass is fixed to the PDG value and when it is a free parameter in the fit of the mass spectrum is included in the systematic uncertainty. To evaluate the uncertainties from EMCal-PC3 matching and TOF PID cuts, the corresponding cuts are varied within \(\pm 17\%\). The uncertainty in momentum reconstruction is estimated by varying the momentum scale within 0.5\% in the simulation. The systematic uncertainties for all three techniques in a particular collision system are within 0.5\% in the simulation. The systematic uncertainties mostly uncorrelated between analysis techniques. The solid blue line in Fig. 7(a) is the result of a common fit with the same parameters to the data points shown with different symbols.

FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Cross section of \(K^{*0}\) meson production as a function of \(p_T\) obtained with the “kaon identified,” “fully identified,” and “unidentified” analysis techniques in \(p + p\) collisions at \(\sqrt{s} = 200\) GeV. The systematic uncertainties shown with boxes are mostly uncorrelated between analysis techniques. The solid blue line is the Tsallis function fit to the combined data points. The star symbols are the \(K^{*0}\) meson measurements from the STAR Collaboration [39]. (b) Ratio of the yields obtained with the three analysis techniques to the fit function. The scale uncertainty of 10\% is not shown.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section we present \(p_T\) spectra of \(K^{*0}\) and \(A^{*0}\) mesons in \(p + p\), \(d + \text{Au}\), and \(Cu + Cu\) collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200\) GeV. The invariant \(p_T\) spectra are used to calculate the nuclear modification factors in \(d + \text{Au}\) and \(Cu + Cu\) collisions at different centralities. These nuclear modification factors are compared to those previously measured for neutral pions, charged kaons, \(\phi\) mesons, and protons.

A. Invariant transverse momentum spectra

Figure 7(a) shows the cross section of \(K^{*0}\) meson production as a function of \(p_T\) in \(p + p\) collisions at \(\sqrt{s} = 200\) GeV. Experimental points shown with different symbols correspond to the different analysis techniques listed in Table II. The systematic uncertainties, mostly uncorrelated for different techniques, are shown along with the data points and include raw yield extraction, track matching, and TOF PID uncertainties listed in Table IV.

The solid line in Fig. 7(a) is the result of a common fit of the data with the Tsallis function in the form used in Ref. [38],

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dy dp_T} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{dy} \left( \frac{n - 1)(n - 2)}{(nT + m(n - 1))(nT + m)} \right) \times \left( \frac{nT + m_T}{nT + m} \right)^{-n},
\]

where \(d\sigma/dy\) is the raw yield, \(n\), and \(T\) are the free parameters, \(m_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m^2}\), and \(m\) is the mass of the particle of interest. The parameter \(T\) determines the shape of the spectrum at low \(p_T\), where particle production is dominated by soft processes, whereas \(n\) governs the high-\(p_T\) part of the spectrum dominated by particles produced in hard scattering. The fit parameters to the \(p + p\) data are \(d\sigma/dy = 1.28 \pm 0.14\) mb, \(T = 121 \pm 19\) (MeV), and \(n = 9.67 \pm 0.62\), with \(x^2/d.o.f. = 6.9/10\). The uncertainties in the parameters include both the statistical and the systematic uncertainties in quadrature.
The systematic uncertainties are shown by the boxes. The solid curves are a fit of the $K^0$ meson invariant $p_T$ spectra for different centrality bins. The dashed curves are the fit function scaled by $N_{\text{coll}}$. The global $p + p$ uncertainty of $\sim 10\%$ is not shown.

Figure 7(b) shows the ratio of the $K^{*0}$ meson cross sections obtained with the different techniques to the fit. A good agreement is observed for the cross sections obtained with different analysis techniques, demonstrating the robustness of the results. The $K^{*0}$ production spectrum is obtained by standard weighted averaging [52] of the cross sections and uncorrelated errors for the same $p_T$ bin obtained from the different analysis techniques. The STAR experiment measured the $K^{*0}$ over the $p_T$ range 0–1.5 GeV/c, shown by the solid star symbols in Fig. 7(a). In the overlap region STAR results agree with our measurement within $1\sigma$ of combined statistical and systematic uncertainties.

Figures 8 and 9 show the invariant $p_T$ spectra of $K^0_S$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons in $d + Au$ and $Cu + Cu$ collisions, respectively, at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The results for different centrality bins are scaled by arbitrary factors for clarity. The $p + p$ results for $K^0_S$, both the data points and the parameters of the Tsallis fit, are taken from Ref. [38]. The published cross section of $K^0_S$ meson production and the cross section of the $K^{*0}$ meson production, shown in Fig. 7, are converted into yield using $N_{\text{coll}}$. The global $p + p$ uncertainty of $\sim 10\%$ is not shown.

FIG. 8. (Color online) $K^0_S$ meson invariant $p_T$ spectra (a) for $d + Au$ and (b) for $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV for different centrality bins. The systematic uncertainties are shown by the boxes. The solid curves are a fit of the $K^0_S$ $p + p$ data by the Tsallis function [38]. The dashed curves are the fit function scaled by $N_{\text{coll}}$. The global $p + p$ uncertainty of $\sim 10\%$ is not shown.

FIG. 9. (Color online) $K^{*0}$ meson invariant $p_T$ spectra (a) for $d + Au$ and (b) for $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV for different centrality bins. The systematic uncertainties are shown by the boxes. The solid curve is a fit of the $K^{*0}$ $p + p$ data by the Tsallis function [38]. The dashed curves are the fit function scaled by $N_{\text{coll}}$. The global $p + p$ uncertainty of $\sim 10\%$ is not shown.
Eq. (5) and shown with open circles in Figs. 8 and 9. The solid curves represent the same fit, scaled by the number of binary collisions corresponding to the centrality bins concerned. In $d + AU$ collisions, the production of both mesons follows the binary scaling for all centralities in the measured $p_T$ range. A similar behavior is also observed in peripheral $Cu + Cu$ collisions. In central and semicentral $Cu + Cu$ interactions, the production of $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons is suppressed at $p_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $p_T > 2 - 3 \text{ GeV}/c$, respectively.

Figure 10 shows the ratio $K_S^0/\pi^0$ for different centrality bins in $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$. The ratio is flat with respect to $p_T$ with a value of ~0.5, irrespective of the system and collision centrality. The statistical uncertainties are shown by vertical bars and the systematic uncertainties are shown by boxes.

B. Nuclear modification factors

The nuclear modification factors for $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons were calculated using Eq. (1). The average number of inelastic nucleon-nucleon collisions $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$ and participants $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ estimated for each centrality bin analyzed in $d + AU$ and $Cu + Cu$ collisions are summarized in Table V [57,58].

Figure 11 shows the nuclear modification factors $R_{dAu}$, measured for the $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons in the most central and peripheral $d + AU$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$. Within uncertainties, the $R_{dAu}$ are consistent with unity for all centralities at $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}/c$. However, in the most central $d + AU$ collisions, there is a hint of a modest Cronin-like enhancement in the range $2 < p_T < 5 \text{ GeV}/c$ and of suppression at $p_T > 6 - 8 \text{ GeV}/c$. Results for $\phi$ and $\pi^0$ mesons [15,59] and protons [29] are also shown for comparison in Fig. 11. The $R_{dAu}$ for all measured mesons shows similar behavior. Based on these results one can conclude that either the cold-nuclear-matter (CNM) effects do not play an important role in the production of these mesons or different CNM effects compensate each other in the studied $p_T$ range. Unlike mesons, baryons [29] exhibit a strong enhancement at intermediate transverse momenta in (semi)central $d + AU$ collisions that could be explained by recombination models [33].

Figure 12 shows the nuclear modification factors $R_{CuCu}$ measured for $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons in $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$. The results are presented for different centrality bins corresponding to the $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$ and $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ given in Table V. In peripheral $Cu + Cu$ collisions the production of $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons follows the binary scaling as expected from Figs. 8 and 9. The $R_{CuCu}$ factors become smaller with increasing centrality and in the most central $Cu + Cu$ collisions the production of both mesons is suppressed at high $p_T$. For the most central collisions, $R_{CuCu}$ drops to a value of 0.5 at $p_T > 5 \text{ GeV}/c$, both for $K_S^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collisions</th>
<th>Centrality bin (%)</th>
<th>$\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$</th>
<th>$\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d + AU$</td>
<td>0–20</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60–88</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cu + Cu$</td>
<td>0–20</td>
<td>151.8 ± 17.1</td>
<td>85.9 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>61.6 ± 6.6</td>
<td>45.2 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>22.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>21.2 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60–94</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–94</td>
<td>51.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>34.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20–60</td>
<td>42.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>33.2 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 13 compares the $R_{\text{CuCu}}$ results for $K^0$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons to results obtained for the $\pi^0$ meson \cite{8} and the $\phi$ meson \cite{15} in the most central, most peripheral, and MB Cu + Cu collisions. In peripheral collisions, the nuclear modification factors are consistent with unity for all measured mesons at all $p_T$. In central and MB collisions, above $p_T \gtrsim 5$ GeV/c, the $R_{\text{CuCu}}$ of all mesons is below unity, and within the uncertainties the suppression is the same for all measured mesons, indicating that its mechanism does not depend on the particle species. However, at lower $p_T$ between 1 and 5 GeV/c, there are differences among the different particles. The $K^{*0}$ meson $R_{\text{CuCu}}$ shows no suppression at $p_T \sim 1–2$ GeV/c and then decreases with increasing $p_T$, as previously observed for the $\phi$ meson. The $\pi^0$ meson $R_{\text{CuCu}}$ shows significantly stronger suppression and flat behavior over the same $p_T$ range.

Figure 14 compares the suppression patterns of light-quark mesons, strange mesons, and baryons. Shown are the $R_{AA}$ of $\pi^0$, $K^{*0}$, and $\phi$ mesons measured in Cu + Cu at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. Because there are no measurements of $R_{AA}$ for protons and charged kaons in the Cu + Cu system, we compare to proton and charged kaon measurements made in Au + Au collisions at the same energy \cite{29}. The comparisons are made for centrality bins corresponding to a similar number of participating nucleons ($N_{\text{part}}$), in the Cu + Cu and Au + Au systems: Cu + Cu 40%–94% ($\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 11.93 \pm 0.63$) and Au + Au 60%–92% ($\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 14.5 \pm 2.5$) in the bottom panel and Cu + Cu 0%–40% ($\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 65.5 \pm 2.0$) and Au + Au 40%–60% ($\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 59.95 \pm 3.5$) in the top panel. In peripheral
collisions the $R_{AA}$ factors for all mesons are consistent with unity for $p_T > 2$ GeV/$c$. A modest enhancement of $\approx 1.3$ is observed for protons. In central collisions, all hadrons show suppression. In the intermediate-$p_T$ range ($p_T = 2$–5 GeV/$c$), there seems to be some hierarchy, with baryons being enhanced, neutral pions being suppressed the most, and $K^{*0}$ and $\phi$ mesons showing an intermediate behavior. At higher $p_T$, all particles are suppressed and they seem to reach the same level of suppression, within uncertainties, irrespective of their mass or quark content. The fact that $R_{AA}$ of all mesons becomes the same is consistent with the assumption that energy loss occurs at the parton level and the scattered partons fragment in the vacuum. We also note that the $R_{AA}$ of the $K^{*0}$ and $\phi$ mesons appear to be very similar to the $R_{AA}$ of electrons from the semileptonic decay of heavy flavor mesons [27]. The present results provide additional constraints to the models attempting to quantitatively reproduce the nuclear modification factors in terms of energy loss of partons inside the medium.

**FIG. 13.** (Color online) Nuclear modification factor as a function of $p_T$ for $K^{*0}$, $K^{*0}$ for centralities (a) 0%–20%, (b) 0%–94% (MB), and (c) 60%–94% in Cu + Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. Results from $\pi^0$ [8] and $\phi$ [15] are also shown. The statistical errors are shown by vertical bars. The systematic uncertainties are shown by boxes. The global $p + p$ uncertainty of $\sim 10\%$ is not shown.

**FIG. 14.** (Color online) Comparison of the nuclear modification factor of $\pi^0$ [8], $\phi$ [15], and $K^{*0}$ in Cu + Cu collisions and proton [29] and kaon [29] in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The comparisons are made for (a) 40%–60% and (b) 60%–92% in Au + Au system and 0%–40% and 40%–94% in the Cu + Cu system corresponding to similar $N_{\text{part}}$ values in the two systems. The statistical errors are shown by vertical bars. The systematic uncertainties are shown by boxes. The global $p + p$ uncertainty of $\sim 10\%$ is not shown.

**V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The PHENIX experiment measured $K_0^*$ and $K^{*0}$ meson production via $\pi^0\pi^0$ and $K^+\pi^-$ decay, respectively, in $p + p$, $d + Au$, and Cu + Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The invariant transverse momentum spectra and nuclear modification factors are presented for different centralities in the $d + Au$ and Cu + Cu systems covering the $p_T$ range of 1.1–8.5 GeV/$c$ and 3–13 GeV/$c$ for $K^{*0}$ and $K_0^*$, respectively. In the $d + Au$ system, the nuclear modification factor of $K_0^*$ and $K^{*0}$ mesons is almost constant as a function of $p_T$ and consistent with unity showing that cold-nuclear-matter effects do not play a significant role in the measured kinematic range. A similar behavior is seen in $R_{dAu}$ for all measured mesons. In the Cu + Cu collisions system, no nuclear modification is registered in peripheral collisions within the uncertainties of the measurement. In central Cu + Cu collisions, both mesons show suppression. In the range $p_T = 2$–5 GeV/$c$, the strange mesons show an intermediate suppression between the more suppressed $\pi^0$ and the nonsuppressed baryons. This behavior provides a particle species dependence of the suppression mechanism and provides additional constraints to the models.
attempting to quantitatively reproduce nuclear modification factors. At higher $p_T$, all particles, $\pi^0$, strange mesons, and baryons, show a similar level of suppression.
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